

Checked by IT
11/16/15

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Addison Rice
Anderson, Mulholland and Associates

DATE: November 11, 2015

FROM: R. Infante

FILE: 1510223D

RE: Data Validation
Air samples
SDG: 1510223D

SUMMARY

Full validation was performed on the data for several gas samples analyzed for naphthalene by method Compendium Method TO-15: Determination Of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) In Air Collected In Specially-Prepared Canisters And Analyzed By Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS), January, 1999. The samples were collected at the Building 6 VI, Bristol Myer Squib, Humacao, PR site on October 10, 2015 and submitted to Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc. of Folsom, California that analyzed and reported the results under delivery groups (SDG) 1510223D.

The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence: Compendium Method TO-15. Determination Of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) In Air Collected In Specially-Prepared Canisters And Analyzed By Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS), January, 1999; Validating Air Samples. Volatile Organic Analysis of Ambient Air in Canisters by Method TO-15, (SOP # HW-31. Revision #4. October, 2006. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

In general the data is valid as reported and may be used for decision making purposes. The data results are acceptable for use.

SAMPLES

The samples included in the review are listed below

Client Sample ID	Lab. Sample ID	Collected Date	Matrix	Analysis
B8SSV-2101015	1510223D-11A	10/10/2015	Air	Naphthalene
B8SSV-2D101015	1510223D-12A	10/10/2015	Air	Naphthalene

REVIEW ELEMENTS

Sample data were reviewed for the following parameters, where applicable to the method

- Agreement of analysis conducted with chain of custody (COC) form
- Holding time and sample preservation
- Gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) tunes
- Initial and continuing calibrations
- Method blanks/trip blanks/field blank
- Canister cleaning certification criteria
- Surrogate spike recovery
- Internal standard performance and retention times
- Field duplicate results
- Laboratory control sample/laboratory control sample duplicate (LCS/LCSD) results
- Quantitation limits and sample results

DISCUSSION

Agreement of Analysis Conducted with COC Request

Sample reports corresponded to the analytical request designated on the chain-of-custody form except for the following:

- Sample 1510223D-10A not analyzed.

Holding Times and Sample Preservation

Sample preservation was acceptable.

Samples analyzed within method recommended holding time.

GC/MS Tunes

The frequency and abundance of bromofluorobenzene (BFB) tunes were within the QC acceptance criteria. All samples were analyzed within the tuning criteria associated with the method.

Initial and Continuing Calibrations

VOCs - Naphthalene (Method TO-15)

One point calibration curve performed. Ongoing accuracy of the instrument was determined by the analysis of a continuing calibration standard.

Method Blank/Trip Blank/Field Blank

Target analytes were not detected in laboratory method blanks.

Summa canister met cleaning certification criteria.

No trip/field blank analyzed with this data package.

Surrogate Spike Recovery

The surrogate recoveries as per method TO-15 were within the laboratory QC acceptance limits in all samples analyzed.

Internal Standard Performance

VOCs - Naphthalene

Samples were spiked with the method specified internal standard. Internal standard are performance and retention times met the QC acceptance criteria in all sample analyses and calibration standards.

Laboratory/Field Duplicate Results

Field/laboratory duplicates were analyzed as part of this data set. Target analytes meet the RPD performance criteria of + 25 % for analytes 5 x SQL.

LCS/LCSD Results

LCS/LCSD (blank spike) analyzed by the laboratory associated with this data package; recoveries and RPD within laboratory control limits.

Quantitation Limits and Sample Results

Dilutions were performed on TO-15 samples (see worksheet).

Calculations were spot checked.

Certification

The following samples 1510223D-11A and 1510223D-12A were analyzed following standard procedures accepted by regulatory agencies. The quality control requirements met the methods criteria except in the occasions described in this document. The results are valid.



Rafael Infante
Chemist License 1888





Air Toxics

Client Sample ID: B8SSV-2101015

Lab ID#: 1510223D-11A

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS

File Name:	14101607	Date of Collection:	10/10/15 1:15:00 PM
Dil. Factor:	8.10	Date of Analysis:	10/16/15 07:31 PM

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Naphthalene	160	Not Detected	850	Not Detected

Container Type: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	110	70-130
Toluene-d8	104	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	97	70-130





Air Toxics

Client Sample ID: B8SSV-2D101015

Lab ID#: 1510223D-12A

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS

File Name:	14101608	Date of Collection:	10/10/15 1:15:00 PM
Dil. Factor:	7.87	Date of Analysis:	10/16/15 07:58 PM

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Naphthalene	160	Not Detected	820	Not Detected

Container Type: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	111	70-130
Toluene-d8	105	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	98	70-130





Air Toxics

Sample Transportation Notice

Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that sample is being shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, national, and international laws, regulations and ordinances of any kind. Air Toxics Limited assumes no liability with respect to the collection, handling or shipping of these samples. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Air Toxics Limited against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, or shipping of samples. D.O.T. Hotline (800) 467-4922

180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B
FOLSOM, CA 95630-4719
(916) 985-1000 FAX (916) 985-1020

Page 2 of 2

Project Manager Terry Taylor

Collected by: (Print and Sign) Terry Taylor

Company AMAT Email _____

Address _____ City _____ State _____ Zip _____

Phone _____ Fax _____

Project Info:

P.O. # _____

Project # _____

Project Name BMS VT

Turn Around Time: _____

Lab Use Only: Pressurized by: _____

☐ Normal

Date: _____

☒ Rush

Pressurization Gas: _____

3-day specify

N₂ He

Lab I.D.	Field Sample I.D. (Location)	Can #	Date of Collection	Time of Collection	Analyses Requested	Canister Pressure/Vacuum
						Initial Final Receipt Final (psf)
104	B85SV-2100915	11423	10/9/15	1623	NO NET ANALYZE	18 3
114	B85SV-2101015	12362	10/10/15	1315	TO-15, CH ₄ , UAP	30 5.5
124	B85SV-20101015	36541	10/10/15	1315	TO-15, CH ₄ , UAP	30 5
10-12-15						
Relinquished by: (signature) <u>[Signature]</u> Date/Time <u>10/12/15</u> 12:00 Received by: (signature) <u>W. EATL</u> Date/Time <u>10/13/15</u> 0945						
Relinquished by: (signature) _____ Date/Time _____ Received by: (signature) _____ Date/Time _____						
Relinquished by: (signature) _____ Date/Time _____ Received by: (signature) _____ Date/Time _____						
Notes: <u>Shipped via FedEx by AMAT</u> <u>Tracking no. 7747 14639650</u> <u>[Signature]</u>						
Lab Use Only	Shipper Name <u>FedEx</u>	Air Bill # _____	Temp (°C) <u>W/A</u>	Condition <u>Good</u>	Custody Seals Intact? <u>None</u>	Work Order # <u>1510923</u>

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Project Number: 1510223D
Date: 10/10/2015

REVIEW OF VOLATILE ORGANIC PACKAGE

The following guidelines for evaluating volatile organics were created to delineate required validation actions. This document will assist the reviewer in using professional judgment to make more informed decision and in better serving the needs of the data users. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence: QC criteria from "Compendium Method TO-15. Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) In Air Collected In Specially-Prepared Canisters and Analyzed By Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS), January, 1999"; USEPA Hazardous Waste Support Branch. Validating Air Samples. Volatile Organic Analysis of Ambient Air in Canisters by Method TO-15, (SOP # HW-31. Revision #4. October, 2006). The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

The hardcopied (laboratory name) Eurofins - Air Toxics data package received has been reviewed and the quality control and performance data summarized. The data review for VOCs included:

Lab. Project/SDG No.: 1510223D Sample matrix: Air
No. of Samples: 2

Trip blank No.: -
Field blank No.: -
Equipment blank No.: -
Field duplicate No.: B8SSV-2101015/B8SSV-2D101015

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data Completeness	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Laboratory Control Spikes
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Holding Times	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Field Duplicates
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GC/MS Tuning	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Calibrations
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internal Standard Performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Compound Identifications
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Blanks	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Compound Quantitation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surrogate Recoveries	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quantitation Limits
<input type="checkbox"/> N/A Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	

Overall Comments: Naphthalene by method TO-15

Definition of Qualifiers:

J- Estimated results
U- Compound not detected
R- Rejected data
UJ- Estimated nondetect

Reviewer: Rafael Infant
Date: 11/11/2015

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

DATA COMPLETENESS

MISSING INFORMATION

DATE LAB. CONTACTED

DATE RECEIVED

[illegible]

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE ANALYZED	pH	ACTION
All samples analyzed within the recommended method holding time				

Criteria

Aqueous samples – 14 days from sample collection for preserved samples (pH ≤ 2, 4°C), no air bubbles.

Aqueous samples – 7 days from sample collection for unpreserved samples, 4°C, no air bubbles.

Soil samples- 7 days from sample collection.

Cooler temperature (Criteria: 4 ± 2 °C): N/A – summa canisters

Actions

If the VOCs vial(s) have air bubbles, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If the % solids of soil samples is 10-50%, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If the % solid of soil samples is < 10%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If holding times are exceeded but < 14 days beyond criteria, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If holding times are exceeded but < 28 days beyond criteria, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If holding times are grossly exceeded (> 28 days beyond criteria), reject all results (R).

If samples were not iced or if the ice were melted (> 10°C), estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met see below _____

GC/MS TUNING

The assessment of the tuning results is to determine if the sample instrumentation is within the standard tuning QC limits

 X The BFB performance results were reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria.

 X BFB tuning was performed for every 24 hours of sample analysis.

If no, use professional judgment to determine whether the associated data should be accepted, qualified or rejected.

List the samples affected:

If mass calibration is in error, all associated data are rejected.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below

CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration: 09/03/15
 Dates of continuing calibration: 10/16/15
 Instrument ID numbers: MSD-14
 Matrix/Level: Air/low

DATE	LAB ID#	FILE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED
Initial and continuing calibrations meet method specific requirements. Initial calibration retention times meet method specific requirements.					

Criteria

All RFs must be > 0.05 regardless of method requirements for SPCC.

All %RSD must be $\leq 15\%$ regardless of method requirements for CCC.

All %Ds must be $\leq 30\%$ regardless of method requirements for CCC.

Method TO-15 does not specify criterion for the curve correlation coefficient (r). A limit for r of ≥ 0.995 has therefore been utilized as professional judgment.

Actions

If any compound has an initial RF or a continuing RF of < 0.05 , estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R), regardless of method requirements.

If any compound has a %RSD $> 15\%$, estimate positive results (J) and use professional judgment to qualify nondetects.

If any compound has a %RSD $> 90\%$, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If any compound has a %D $> 30\%$, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If any compound has a %D $> 30\%$, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If any compound has a %D $> 90\%$, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If any compound has r < 0.995 , estimate positive results and nondetects.

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

of

N

1

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below _____

Blank Actions

ALs = 5x for any other compounds

If the concentration is \geq SQL and $>$ AL, report the concentration unqualified.

Compounds qualified "U" for blank contamination are still considered "hits" when qualifying for calibration criteria.

[illegible]

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below

SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery.

Matrix: solid/aqueous

SAMPLE ID	SURROGATE COMPOUND			ACTION
	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE- d4	Toluene- d8	4-BFB	

 Surrogate recoveries within laboratory control limits

QC Limits* (Air)

 LL to UL 70 to 130 70 to 130 70 to 130

- * QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- * If QC limits are not available, use limits of 80 – 120 % for aqueous and 70 – 130 % for solid samples.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < 10%	%R = 10% - LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J	J
Nondetects results	R	UJ	Accept

Surrogate action should be applied:

If one or more surrogate in the VOC fraction is out of specification, but has a recovery of > 10%.

If any one surrogate in a fraction shows < 10 % recovery.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met _____
 Criteria were not met _____
 and/or see below __N/A__

VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

1. MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

The laboratory should use one MS and a duplicate analysis of an unspiked field sample if target analytes are expected in the sample. If target analytes are not expected, MS/MSD should be analyzed.

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sample ID: _____ Matrix/Level: _____

MS OR MSD	COMPOUND	% R	RPD	QC LIMITS	ACTION
____MS/MSD are not required as part of Method TO-15; blank spike used to assess____					
____accuracy____					

- * QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- * If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 – 130 %.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

MS/MSD criteria apply only to the unspiked sample, its dilutions, and the associated MS/MSD samples:

If the % R for the affected compounds were < LL (or 70 %), qualify positive results (J) and nondetects (JJ).

If the % R for the affected compounds were > UL (or 130 %), only qualify positive results (J).

If 25 % or more of all MS/MSD %R were < LL (or 70 %) or if two or more MS/MSD %Rs were < 10%, qualify all positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

All criteria were met _____
Criteria were not met _____
and/or see below N/A

MS/MSD – Unspiked Compounds

If all target analytes were spiked in the MS/MSD, this review element is not applicable.

List the %RSD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sample ID: _____ Matrix/Level/Unit: _____

[illegible]

* If the % RSD > 50, qualify the positive result in the unspiked samples as estimated (J).
* If the % RSD is not calculated (NC) due to nondetected value, use professional judgment to qualify the data.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below

VIII. LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS) ANALYSIS

This data is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various matrices.

1. LCS Recoveries Criteria

Where LCS spiked with the same analyte at the same concentrations as the MS/MSD?
 Yes or No. If no make note in data review memo.

List the %R of compounds which do not meet the criteria

LCS ID	COMPOUND	% R	QC LIMIT
LCS/LCSD (Blank spike) analyzed in this data package; % recoveries and RPD within laboratory control limits.			

* QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.

* If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 – 130 %.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

All analytes in the associated sample results are qualified for the following criteria.

If 25 % of the LCS recoveries were < LL (or 70 %), qualify all positive results (j) and reject nondetects (R).

If two or more LCS were below 10 %, qualify all positive results as (J) and reject nondetects (R).

2. Frequency Criteria:

Where LCS analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix? Yes or No.

If no, the data may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect and qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples affected.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met ☒ X
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below _____

IX. LABORATORY/FIELD DUPLICATE PRECISION

Sample IDs: _B8SSV-2101015/B8SSV-2D101015_

Matrix: ___Air___

Field duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.

The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information.

Suggested criteria: RPD \pm 25% for air samples. If both samples and duplicate are <5 SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.

COMPOUND	SQL	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION
RPD within the method performance criteria.					

Actions:

Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria. For organics, only the sample and duplicate will be qualified.

If an RPD cannot be calculated because one or both of the sample results is not detected, the following actions apply:

If one sample result is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL qualify (J/UJ).

If one sample value is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL and the SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If one sample value is not detected and the other is less than 5x, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If both sample and duplicate results are not detected, no action is needed.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below

X. INTERNAL STANDARD PERFORMANCE

The assessment of the internal standard (IS) parameter is used to assist the data reviewer in determining the condition of the analytical instrumentation.

List the internal standard area of samples which do not meet the criteria.

- * Area of +40% or -40% of the IS area in the associated calibration standard.
- * Retention time (RT) within ± 0.06 seconds of the IS area in the associated calibration standard.

DATE	SAMPLE ID	IS OUT	IS AREA	ACCEPTABLE RANGE	ACTION
------	-----------	--------	---------	------------------	--------

Internal standard area and retention times within laboratory control limits for both samples and calibration standards

Actions:

1. IS actions should be applied to the compound quantitated with the out-of-control ISs

QUALITY	IS AREA < -40%	IS AREA > + 40%
Positive results	J	J
Nondetected results	R	ACCEPT

2. If a IS retention time varies more than 0.330 seconds, the chromatographic profile for that sample must be examined to determine if any false positive or negative exists. For shifts of a large magnitude, the reviewer may consider partial or total rejection of the data for the sample fraction.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

XII. SAMPLE QUANTITATION

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

Calibration check

Naphthalene RF = 1.43772

$$[] = (16934)(400)/(209795)(1.43772)$$

$$= 22.457 \text{ ppbv OK}$$

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below

XII. QUANTITATION LIMITS

A. Dilution performed

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASONS FOR DILUTION
All samples diluted by a factor of less than 1.81.		

B. Percent Solids

List samples which have ≤ 50 % solids

Actions:

If the % solids of a soil sample is 10-50%, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ)

If the % solids of a soil sample is < 10%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R)